


### REMARKS

The amendment to paragraph [0027] clarifies and simplifies. While the clause cancelled was true, it was felt to be needlessly confusing. "Whether the object is removed or not" gives two options. If the object is removed, the remainder of the sentence is obviously true. If the object is not removed, the remainder of the sentence is true, but the finger will halt movement of the movable grating and the drive. Thus it is believed that the description is simpler if amended as shown.

Applicant also wishes to confirm that a Rescission of Previous Nonpublication Request was filed by facsimile on August 11, 2004 and Applicant's agent retains the original.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert L. Westell

36 Eglinton Avenue West, Suite 706  
Toronto, Ontario M4R 1A1  
CANADA

416-481-3331

Dated this 13th Day of August, 2004

RLW/mew